Reflection: The Connection between Mediation and Neuroscience

Written by: Erika Deines, BA C.Med. RFM PGCNL April 2016

The following is a reflection on my own work as I make connections between what I do in mediation and my acquired understanding of neuroscience. No area of understanding is more relevant and important for mediator competency than a basic awareness of how the human brain functions, perceives events, processes emotional reactions and cognitive responses, and formulates decisions. This new neuroscientific understanding can change the heart of our work in managing conflict and problem solving.

The revolutionary discovery that the human brain can change itself will, in my opinion, have the biggest impact on how mediation can be an empowering process. In the book *The Brain That Changes Itself*, Doidge writes, "... thinking, learning, and acting can turn our genes on or off, thus shaping our brain anatomy and our behavior" (p.xix).

Mediators can capitalize on the realization that the architecture of the brain changes in the course of a person's life, and, therefore, also in the course of a mediated conversation. If mediators consider that neuroplasticity renders parties' brains not only resourceful but also vulnerable to outside influences, they will understand that it has the power to produce flexible but also rigid behaviors – in Doidge's words, "the plastic paradox" (p.xx).

The brain's overarching organizing principle of minimizing danger and maximizing reward governs *towards* and *away* motivation and drives our social behavior. David Rock identified key patterns of social threats and rewards in the SCARF (status, certainty, autonomy, relatedness and fairness) model. He built on the recognition that social pain and pleasure are experienced similarly to physical pain and pleasure. Conflict between parties arises frequently from a lack of certainty or predictability, a lack of autonomy and choice, and the absence of fairness. Since we do not have the neural resources to process the world moment-by-moment 'fresh', we need the ability to predict. In mediation, being unable to predict the opposing party's negotiation tactics arouses a strong threat response and impairs decision-making. At the same token, a perceived threat imposed by these unknown factors can be dampened down by a sense of relatedness, when a party resonates with something that is similar in the other party. A mediator attempts to build rapport and trust by identifying and labeling parties' common interests, the similarities of their underlying needs, so that a sense of relatedness can be achieved.

The multiplier effect, that a social threat in one area can affect another area and start to add up, may also be important for mediators; for example, since parties keep track of where they stand in relation to others, when a party perceives that his/her status (competence) has dropped in the eyes of the other party it may trigger an unfairness response and uncertainty about the mediation process. This ripple effect can be offset by a benefit in another area; in my example, by increasing autonomy for the party perceiving a social threat; the mediator might be able to balance the choice or control between the parties over certain areas of discussion. The mediator is tasked with the integration of all parties' needs; these needs are identified by SCARF-induced threats and rewards, including the mediator's own SCARF tendencies.

The limbic system constantly makes *towards* and *away* decisions automatically. Appendix Aⁱ explains how an aroused limbic system can create false confidence interferes with the brain's braking system and misinterprets incoming data.

When the limbic system becomes aroused by anxiety or fear, resources available for the prefrontal cortex decrease and vice versa. The two work like a seesaw. Emotional escalation reduces the ability to think and reason. Brain-based techniques can reverse and even nullify the impact of arousal. Cognitive change allows parties to think differently about the situation by two methods: a) putting a label on their emotions and b) reappraising and changing their interpretation of an event (Gross, cited in Rock, 2009).

A labeling technique called symbolic labeling can be used to help the parties make a switch by trying to find the right word to identify an emotional sensation. Dr. Matthew Lieberman found that describing an emotion with words, using symbolic language which means using indirect metaphors and simplifications of our experience, less activity occurred in the insula, cingulate and amygdala, but activation in the right ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, the brain's braking system and central to inhibition, without consciously trying to inhibit, was noticed (2010, lecture slides).

Reappraising and changing the interpretation of an event can also be used powerfully in mediation. It turns out that conscious control over the limbic system is possible not by suppressing a feeling but rather by changing the interpretation that creates the feeling in the first place. Cognitive reappraisal, also known as re-contextualizing or reframing, helps to reduce the impact of bigger emotional hits; it has a stronger emotional braking effect than labeling. Dr. Kevin Ochsner studies neuroscience of reappraisal and found that we can control our interpretation of the meaning of a situation. The four main types of reappraisal are 1) reinterpreting, 2) normalizing, 3) reordering information and 4) repositioning (2005, cited in Rock, 2009). It is so easy to become fixed in a way of thinking, as we know from people reaching impasse. However, when we take another person's perspective, we are changing the context through which we view a situation. Even the smallest perception of choice seems to impact limbic system arousal. It is the perception of choice that matters to the brain. Emotional states are surprisingly easy to change at times; one different word or phrase can make a big difference.

The neuroscience approach is to involve people earlier in the change process and in a targeted way. Controlling the emotional climate in mediation is important for trust and openness to develop between parties. Being able to effectively manage anger, fear and anxiety is a cornerstone for a mediator in attaining credibility with others and confidence in oneself. Knowing that techniques such as symbolic labeling and reappraisal can reduce the emotions that hinder conscious thought and awareness, mediators can learn to apply these techniques correctly. Enhancing the mediator's emotional vocabulary and learning perceptual position shift questioning (shifting to another person's view, an overall view, a time shift, and situation shift etc.) should become important elements of mediation training in the future. The exchange of information, experiences and facts to enhance parties' understanding of each other, is also a feedback giving and receiving process. However, a large number of conditions must be satisfied for feedback to have a positive effect. Therefore, no feedback is valuable if there is low trust or a highly political environment (Ghorpade, 2000).

David Creswell of UCLA found that with people who are more mindful, more of their brain becomes part of the inhibition process in the right ventrolateral prefrontal cortex and other prefrontal cortical regions, facilitating an openness towards feedback (Rock, 2009). Training in mindfulness will become a necessity in mediation training as it underlies the ability to accept 'what is' nonjudgmentally, to be 'in the present' and to focus on parties' needs with an open mind.

Aside from influencing a positive emotional climate and becoming mindful, a mediator's knowledge of the similarities of social pain and physical pain, the first of which most always involves one or more areas of the SCARF model, can increase opportunities for insight solutions. Probing for unmet social needs by focusing on the parties' perception of their status in relation to the presenting conflict, their level of uncertainty, lack of autonomy, as well as their sense of loss of relatedness (including business relationships), and/or unfair treatment by the other, all play into the depth of understanding that leads to collaboration. The activation of mirror neurons through conscious observation of an opposing party's actions and behaviors in face-to-face encounters can create sufficient empathy to affect a 'break through' between the parties. The recall of social memories (remembering people) stored in semantic (facts) and episodic (a personal experience) brain networks correlates with the formation of new impressions in the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex to which the amygdala attaches new emotional impressions. These impressions will be consolidated to form new memories. Emotions enhance memory, however, if a person or event is too emotional, the hippocampus' memory consolidation shuts off and a person may be left with vivid emotional memories without 'conscious access', as is the case in posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD).

How the brain functions, the complexity of countless interconnections and integrated functions that manage the simplest perceptions, emotions and decision-making, has been particularly useful with regard to understanding decision making and the power of insight over analytical problem solving. This learning has increased my awareness of the downside of a problem-focused approach in mediation. Limbic arousal impairs cognitive functions such as memory recall, understanding and deciding. The ability to stop oneself inhibit distractive thoughts is reduced and rational thinking, therefore, highly overrated. An outcome-focused approach on the other hand, activates implicit goal pursuit. The process of implicit goal pursuit can be summarized as an activation of a goal representation, which works due to the concept of associated learning. If we pursued something in the past successfully thinking about it will activate the other (current need for similar behavior). Through priming we manipulate attention. For example, priming by visualization of a past successful pursuit prepares the brain for action and detects the reward signal. Thinking about it will also prime the motor area of the brain as well as associated muscles to carry out the action. This leads to goal pursuit outside awareness.

From my perspective, the rationalist paradigm of the logical and determined disputant, coming to the table to work collaboratively, objectively engage in cost-benefit analyses, and methodically move to creatively solve problems, has had its difficulties as it minimizes/suppresses the emotions parties bring to the table. This does not mean rational analysis is not of significant value and worthy of pursuit, only that it is not sufficient in and of itself for dealing with complex issues. Mediators are change agents and I am prepared to adapt my current mediation style to incorporate my insights gained through this program.

Returning to the statement above that rational analysis is not sufficient in and of itself for appreciating and dealing with complex issues, I have noticed a cognitive dissonance affecting my critical faculties (Crawford, 2008) in regards to research methodologies used in neuroscience; on one hand I have developed 'neuro enthusiasm' as professors shine a light on the 'magical' effects of brain scans and use the results to impart legitimacy to their efforts, and on the other hand I believe it is necessary to disentangle the science from scientism – entrepreneurs grasping at some kind of authority through neuroscience, presented as neuro-talk. Such talk is often accompanied by a picture of a brain scan, in Crawford's words, "that fast-acting solvent of critical faculties." (Crawford, 2008) See Appendix B^{II} for Crawford's concerns with fMRI research methodology. Additional research on brain scans can be found in Appendix C^{III}.

The mediator has the ability to help parties' transfer new learning to future events for long-lasting, sustainable behavior change. To hard-wire new behaviors in basal ganglia, the mediator helps parties (through various perceptual positions) visualize 'transferring' new behaviors to future situations. This is also known as Lewin's 3-Step Change Theory of unfreezing (the existing situation), movement (towards new perspective) and refreezing (hard-wiring new behaviors and beliefs).

In summary, to convene the table in mediation, parties and mediators need to develop a new set of circuits:

- Observe their thinking process
- Label their emotions
- Calm themselves for insight to occur.

With the advent of neuroplasticity new hope for insight solutions has arisen. Based on a large amount of research it is now possible, if not even necessary, to rewrite a mediation process that integrates the adaptive brain.

Looking through the eyes of a mediator I am mindfully aware of the evolutionary nature of my study in neuroscience of leadership and the responsibility that accompanies ethical and educational dissemination of newly acquired knowledge in my effort to create peaceful communities, one conversation at a time.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Amodio, D.M. and Lieberman, M.D., n.d. *Pictures in our heads: Contributions of fMRI to the study of prejudice and stereotyping*. To Appear in T. Nelson (ed.) Handbook of Prejudice, Stereotyping, and Discrimination; pp 21-29. Earlbaum Press. PGCNL Module III, Week 3 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3300070/as/2 Pictures%20in%20our%20 https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackpackit.com/assets/3300070/as/2 Pictures%20in%20our%20

Ancona, D. and Bresman, H., 2007. X-*Teams: How To Build Teams That Lead, Innovate and Succeed.* Boston: Harvard Business School Publishing

Arnsten, A., 2009. The Mental Sketchpad: Why Thinking Has Limits. PGCNL Module I, Week 3 [online slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3299727/as/2b The%20Mental%20Sketchpad Slides.pdf [Accessed 16 October 2009]

Arnsten, A.F.T., 1998. *The Biology Of Being Frazzled*. PGCNL Module II, Week 3 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249339/as/4%20-%20The%20Biology%20of%20Being%20Frazzled_Arnsten.pdf [Accessed 29 January 2010]

Atkinson, M., 2008. Inner Dynamics of Coaching.

Atkinson, M., 2008. Step-by-Step Coaching.

Berkman, E., 2010. *Goal Pursuit and its neural underpinnings*. PGCNL Module IV, Week 4 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960759-module-4-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 24 July 2010]

Bishop, S.R. et al. 2004. *Mindfulness: A Proposed Operational Definition*. PGCNL Module II, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249453/as/4%20-%20Mindfullness%20a%20Proposed%20Operational%20Definition.pdf [Accessed 12 March 2010]

Brennan, A. and Arnsten, A., 2008. Neuronal Mechanisms Underlying Attention

Carter, E. J. & Pelphrey, K. A., 2008. *Friend or foe? Brain systems involved in the perception of dynamic signals of menacing and friendly social approaches*. Journal Social Neuroscience, Volume 3(2), pp. 151-163. PGCNL Module III, Week 6 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3390047/as/3 Friend or Foe Brain systems involved social approaches.pdf [Accessed 14 May 2010]

Chen, Z., Williams, K. D., Fitness, J., and Newton, N. C., 2008. *When Hurt Will Not Heal: Exploring the Capacity to Relive Social and Physical Pain.* Psychological Science, Volume 19(8), pp.789-795. PGCNL Module III, Week 2 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3302474/as/3 When%20hurt%20will%2 Onot%20heal Chen%20etal.pdf [Accessed 16 April 2010]

Coghill, R.C. 2010. Expectations vs. Experience: The Construction of the Individual Subjective Reality. PGCNL Module II, Week 7 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3526985/as/3b%20-%20Expectations%20vs%20Experience_RCoghill.pdf [Accessed 26 February 2010]

Cooley, J.W., 2005. *Creative Problem Solver's Handbook for Negotiators and Mediators*. Washington: American Bar Association

Covey, S.R., 1989. 2004. The Seven Habits Of Highly Effective People. [online] Available at: https://www.stephencovey.com/7habits/7habits-habit5.php [Accessed 18 January 2011]

Crawford, M.B., 2008. *The Limits of Neuro-Talk*. The New Atlantis, Number 19, Winter 2008, pp.65-78. [online] Available at The New Atlantis: http://www.thenewatlantis.com/publications/the-limits-of-neuro-talk [Accessed 22 December 2010]

Crockett, M. J., Clark, L., Tabibnia, G., Lieberman, M. D., & Robbins, T. W., 2008. *Serotonin modulates behavioural reactions to unfairness*. Science, Volume 320(5884), pp.173. PGCNL Module III, Week 7 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3383803/as/Serotonin%20Modulates%20 Behavioral.pdf [Accessed 21 May 2010]

Daft, R.L., 2010. The Executive and the Elephant: A Leader's Guide to Building Inner Excellence. San Francisco: John Wiley & Sons

Davachi, L. and Dobbins I.G., 2008. Declarative Memory. PGCNL Module I, Week 2 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214585/as/1 Declarative%20Memory L Davachi.pdf [Accessed 9 October 2009]

Davachi, L., 2009. Memory. PGCNL Module I, Week 2 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3289313/as/Week%202_Memory_LectureSlides_LDavachi.pdf [Accessed 9 October 2009]

Davachi, L., 2009. Neuroanatomy For Neuro Leadership. *PGCNL Module I, Week 1* [online slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3208668/as/1c Neuroscience%20101 Slides.pdf [Accessed 3 October 2009]

Decety, J.et al. 2004. *The neural bases of cooperation and competition: an fMRI investigation.*Neuroimage, Volume 23, pp. 744-51. PGCNL Module III, Week 7 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.hackpackit.com/assets/3383822/as/The%20neural%20hases%2

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3383822/as/The%20neural%20bases%20of%20cooperation%20and%20competition.pdf [Accessed 21 May 2010]

Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: The Influence of Arousal on Prefrontal Cortical Function. PGCNL Module I, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214598/as/2 InfluenceofArousal Brenna nArnsten.pdf [Accessed 23 October 2009]

Dixon, P., 2010. *Feedback – A developing model and theory.* PGCNL Module IV, Week 5 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37

Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960759-module-4-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 30 July 2010]

Doidge, N., 2007. The Brain That Changes Itself. New York: Penguin Books

Dworkin, S. I., Mirkis, S., & Smith, J. E., 1995. *Response-dependent versus response-independent presentation of cocaine: differences in the lethal effects of the drug*. Psychopharmacology, p.117, pp.262–266. PGCNL Module III, Week 5 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3300074/as/2 Responsedependent%20vs %20responseindependent Dworkin.pdf [Accessed 7 May 2010]

Eisenberger, N. I., Jarcho, J. J., Lieberman, M. D., & Naliboff, B. D., 2006. *An experimental study of shared sensitivity to physical pain and social rejection.* Pain, Volume 126, pg 132-138. PGCNL Module III, Week 6 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3300076/as/2 An%20experimental%20st udy%20of%20shared%20sensitivity Eisenberger%20etal.pdf [Accessed 14 May 2010]

Farb, N.A.S., Segal, Z.V., Mayberg, H., Bean, J., McKeon, D., Fatima, Z. and Anderson, A.K. 2007. Attending to the present: mindfulness meditation reveals distinct neural modes of self-reference. PGCNL Module II, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249450/as/3%20-%20Mindfulness%20Meditation%20Reveals.pdf [Accessed 12 March 2010]

Fazio, R.H., 2001. *On the automatic activation of associated evaluations: An overview, Cognition & Emotion.* PGCNL Module II, Week 3 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02699930125908 [Accessed 29 January 2010]

Ferguson, M. J., Bargh, J. A., 2004. *Liking Is for Doing: The Effects of Goal Pursuit on Automatic Evaluation.* Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, Volume 87(5), pp. 557–572. PGCNL Module IV, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3519530/as/Ferguson%20Bargh%20Liking%20Is%20for%20Doing%20-%20Goal%20Pursuit.pdf [Accessed 24 July 2010]

Fisher, R. Ury, W. and Patton, B., 1991. *Getting to Yes. Negotiating Agreement Without Giving In.* 2nd ed. London, UK: Penguin Books

Fitzgerald, M., 2006. *Corporate Circles: Transforming Conflict and Building Trusting Teams.* North Hollywood: Quinn Publishing

Folger, J. P. Baruch Bush, R. A. and Della Noce, D. J., 2010. *Transformative Mediation: A Sourcebook*. Institute for the Study of Conflict Transformation

Furlong, G.T., 2005. The Conflict Resolution Tool Box: Models & Maps for analyzing, diagnosing and resolving conflict. Mississauga: John Wiley & Sons Canada

Fuster, J.M., 2001. The Prefrontal Cortex – An Update: Time Is Of The Essence. PGCNL Module I, Week 3 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3212022/as/1 The%20Prefrontal%20Cort ex Fuster.pdf [Accessed 16 October 2009]

Goldberg, M., 1998. The Art of the Question: A Guide to Short-Term Question-Centered Therapy. USA and Canada: John Wiley & Sons

Goldin, P.R., McRae, K., Ramel, W. and Gross, J.J. 2008. *The Neural Bases of Emotion Regulation: Reappraisal and Suppression of Negative Emotion.* PGCNL Module II, Week 8 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3527109/as/1 Neural%20Basis%20of%20 Emotional%20Regulation Goldin.pdf [Accessed 5 March 2010]

González, V. and Mark, G., 2004. Constant, Constant, Multi-tasking Craziness: Managing Multiple Working Spheres. PGCNL Module I, Week 6 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214602/as/3 Multitasking%20Craziness Gonzalez.pdf [Accessed 6 November 2009]

Gordon E., 2008. *NeuroLeadership and Integrative Neuroscience: "it's about VALIDATION stupid!"* PGCNL Module II, Week 2 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249330/as/1_NeuroLeadership%20and%20Integrative%20Neuroscience_Gordon.pdf [Accessed 22 January 2010]

Hassed, C. 2008. *Mindfulness, wellbeing and performance*. PGCNL Module II, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249477/as/6%20-%20MindfulnessWellbeing%20&%20Performance_Hassed.pdf [Accessed 12 March 2010]

Hassed, C., 1999. *Know Thyself: The Stress Release Programme.* South Yarra, Australia: Michelle Anderson Publishing

Hassed, C., 1999. *Know Thyself: The Stress Release Programme.* South Yarra, Australia: Michelle Anderson Publishing

Hoffman, B., 1990. *Conflict Power Persuasion: Negotiating Effectively*. North York, Ontario: Captus Press Inc.

Hoi-Chung Leung et al. 2000. An Event-Related Functional MRI Study Of The Stroop Color Word Interference Task. PGCNL Module I, Week 7 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214608/as/3 An%20Event%20Related Leung.pdf [Accessed 13 November 2009]

Hsu, M., Bhatt, M., Adolphs, R., Tranel, D., & Camerer, C. F., 2005. *Neural Systems Responding to Degrees of Uncertainty in Human Decision-Making.* Science, Volume 310, pp.1681-1683. PGCNL Module III, Week 5 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3300073/as/1 Neural%20Systems%20Responding%20to%20Uncertainty Bhatt%20etal.pdf [Accessed 7 May 2010]

Iacoboni, M. and Dapretto, M., 2006. *The mirror neuron system and the consequences of its dysfunction.* Nature Reviews Neuroscience, Volume 7, pp. 924-951. PGCNL Module III, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3300072/as/1_MirrorneuronConsequencesofDysfunction_Jacoboni_Dapretto.pdf [Accessed 30 April 2010]

Iacoboni, M., 2008, 2009. Mirroring People: The Science Of Empathy And How We Connect With Others. New York: Farrar. Straus & Giroux

Iacoboni, M., 2008. *Mirroring People. The Science of Empathy And How We Connect With Others.* New York: Picador in assoc. with Farrar, Straus and Giroux

Izuma, K., Saito, D., Sadato, N., 2008. *Processing of Social and Monetary Rewards in the Human Striatum*. Neuron, Volume 58(2), pp.284-294. PGCNL Module III, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3418891/as/Processing%20of%20Social%20and%20Monetary%20Rewards.pdf [Accessed 4 June 2010]

Jones, T. S. Brinkert, R., 2008. *Conflict Coaching: Conflict Management Strategies And Skills For The Individual.* Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications

Jung-Beeman, M., 2009. Cognitive and neural mechanisms of insightful solutions. PGCNL Module I, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214644/as/2b Anatomy%20of%20an%20AHA Panel Slides.pdf [Accessed 27 November 2009]

Jung-Beeman, M., Collier, A. and Kounios, J., 2008. How insight happens: learning from the brain. PGCNL Module I, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214634/as/1 HowInsightHappens JungBeeman.pdf [Accessed 27 November 2009]

Kaner, S. Lind, L. Toldi, C. and Fisk, S., 2007. Facilitator's Guide to Participatory Decision-Making. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass

Koyama, T., McHaffie, J.G., Laurienti, P.J., Coghill, R.C. and Smith, E.E., 2005. *The Subjective Experience of Pain: Where Expectations Become Reality*. PGCNL Module II, Week 6 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3526940/as/1 The%20Subjective%20Experience%20of%20Pain.pdf [Accessed 26 February 2010]

Kraybill Conflict Style Inventory, n.d. *Style Matters*. [online] Available at: http://riverhouseepress.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=48&Itemid=81#validation [Accessed 17 January 2011]

Kraybill, R.S., 2001. Peace Skills: Manual for Community Mediators. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Inc.

Kraybill, R.S., Evans, A.F. and Evans, R.A., 2001 . *Peace Skills: Manual for Community Mediators.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Inc.

Lahninger, P., 1999. Leiten, praesentieren, moderieren: Arbeits- und Methodenbuch fuer Teamentwicklung und qualifizierte Aus- & Weiterbildung. Muenster 2. Aufl.: Oekotopia-Verlag

Langer, A.J., 1997. The Power of Mindful Learning. Cambridge: Perseus Books Group

Langer, E.J., 1989. Mindfulness. Cambridge, MA: Da Capo Press in assoc. with Perseus Books Group

Langer, E.J., 1989. Mindfulness. Cambridge: Perseus Books Group

Lebaron, M. and Pillay, V., 2006. *Conflict Across Cultures*. Boston, MA: Intercultural Press in assoc. with Nicholas Brealey Publishing, London, UK

Lehrer, J., 2010. How We Decide. New York: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Publishing

Lewicki, R. Saunders, D. and Barrt, B., 2009. Negotiation. 6th ed. Boston, MA: McGraw-Hill/Irwin

Lieberman M., & Eisenberg, N. 2008. *The pains and pleasures of social life.* NeuroLeadership Journal. Issue 1, pg 38-43. PGCNL Module III, Week 2[online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3289425/as/2 PainPleasureSocialLife Lieb erman Eisenberg.pdf [Accessed 16 April 2010]

Lieberman, M. D., 2007. *Social Cognitive Neuroscience: A Review of Core Processes*. Annual Review of Psychology, Volume58, pp.259-289. PGCNL Module III, Week 3 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3300069/as/1 A%20Review%20of%20Core%20Processes Lieberman.pdf [Accessed 23 April 2010]

Lieberman, M.D., 2010. *The Brain's Braking System, and how "using your words" taps into it.* PGCNL Module II, Week 5 [online slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249383/as/3b%20-%20BrainsBrakingSystem_MLieberman_Slides.pdf [Accessed 12 February 2010]

Lieberman, M.D., 2010. *The Pains and Pleasures of Social Living.* PGCNL Module III, Week 1 [online slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3289403/as/1b Get%200n%20With%200 thers MLieberman.pdf [Accessed 9 April 2010]

Lieberman, M.D., Eisenberger, N.I., Crockett, M.J., Tom, S.M., Pfeifer, J.H. and Way, B.M., 2007. *Putting Feelings Into Words. Affect Labeling Disrupts Amygdala Activity in Response to Affective Stimuli.* PGCNL Module II, Week 5 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3516175/as/1 Putting%20Feelings%20Int o%20Words Lieberman.pdf [Accessed 12 February 2010]

Lieberman, M.D., Gilbert, D.T., Gaunt, R. and Trope, Y. n.d. *Reflection and Reflexion: A Social Cognitive Neuroscience Approach to Attributional Inference.* PGCNL Module III, Week 1 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3289414/as/2_Reflection&Reflexion_MLie_bermanetal.pdf [Accessed 9 April 2010]

Low, A., Lang, P.J., Smith, J.C. and Bradley, M.M., 2008. *Both Predator and Prey Emotional Arousal in Threat and Reward*. PGCNL Module II, Week 3 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249336/as/2%20-%20Both%20Predator%20and%20Prey Low Lang Smith Bradley.pdf [Accessed 29 January 2010]

Masicampo, E. and Baumeister, R., 2008. *Toward a Physiology of Dual-Process Reasoning and Judgment*. PGCNL Module I, Week 7 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3286454/as/5 TowardaPhysiology Masica mpo.pdf [Accessed 13 November 2009]

McFarland, W., 2010. *The Neuroscience of Change.* PGCNL Module IV, Week 6 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960759-module-4-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 6 August 2010]

Mitchell, J. P., Macrae, C. N., & Banaji, M. R., 2006. *Dissociable Medial Prefrontal Contributions to Judgments of Similar and Dissimilar Others.* Neuron, Volume 50, pp. 655–663. PGCNL Module III, Week 6 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3300075/as/1 Dissociable%20Medial%20 Prefrontal%20Contributions Mitchell%20etal.pdf [Accessed 14 May 2010]

Mitchell, J., 2010. *Using self to understand others*. PGCNL Module III, Week 3 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960758-module-3-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 23 April 2010]

Mobbs, D., 2010. *Relatedness*. PGCNL Module III, Week 6 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960758-module-3-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 14 May 2010]

Mukamel, R., Arne D. Ekstrom, A.D., Kaplan, J., Iacoboni, M. and Fried, I., 2010. *Single-Neuron Responses in Humans during Execution and Observation of Actions.* PGCNL Module III, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3337766/as/Mirror%20Neurons%20Current%20Biology%202010-04-27.pdf [Accessed 30 April 2010]

NeuroLeadership Institute. 2009. Prefrontal Cortex. PGCNL Module I, Week 3 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1959638-module-1-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 16 October 2009]

NeuroLeadership Institute. 2009. The Central Nervous System. *PGCNL Module I, Week 1* [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1959638-module-1-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 3 October 2009]

Ochsner, K. 2008. Staying cool under pressure: insights from social cognitive neuroscience and their implications for self and society. PGCNL Module II, Week 8 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3527113/as/3 Staying%20Cool%20Under%20Pressure Oschsner.pdf [Accessed 5 March 2010]

Ochsner, K. 2010. It's the Thought that counts: The Cognitive Bases of Keeping Your Cool. PGCNL Module II, Week 8 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3560372/as/Stay%20Cool%20Under%20 Pressure KOchsner.pdf [Accessed 5 March 2010] Ochsner, K.N. and Gross, J.J., 2005. *The cognitive control of emotion*. PGCNL Module II, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249345/as/1%20-%20Ochsner%20the%20cognitive%20control%20of%20emotion_Ochsner.pdf [Accessed 5 February 2010]

Ochsner, K.N., 2010. *Mindfulness*. PGCNL Module II, Week 9 [online telecall slides.odp via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960757-module-2-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 12 March 2010]

Ochsner, K.N., 2010. *Mindfulness*. PGCNL Module II, Week 9 [online telecall audio.wav via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960757-module-2-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 12 March 2010]

Ochsner, K.N.et al. 2004. For better or for worse: neural systems supporting the cognitive down- and up-regulation of negative emotion. 2nd ed. PGCNL Module II, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249480/as/7%20-%20Neural%20Systems%20Supporting%20the%20Cognitive Ochsner.pdf [Accessed 12 March 2010]

Overview of Appreciative Inquiry and Positive, Strength-Based Approaches to Human and Organization Development. Anon. 2010. PGCNL Module IV, Week 3 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3460884/as/Appreciative%20Inquiry%20 Overview.pdf [Accessed 16 July 2010]

Page L. and Rock D., 2009. Coaching With The Brain In Mind. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley & Sons

Pashler,H., Johnston, J.C. and Ruthruff E., 2001. Attention and Performance. PGCNL Module I, Week 6 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214599/as/2 Attention&Performance Pashler.pdf [Accessed 6 November 2009]

Pentland, A., 2008. *Honest Signals: How They Shape Our World.* Cambridge: Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Pfaff, D., Ribeiro A., Matthews J. and Lee-Ming Kow, 2008. Concepts and Mechanisms of Generalized Central Nervous System Arousal. PGCNL Module I, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214596/as/1_Concepts&Mechanisms_Pfaf f.pdf [Accessed 23 October 2009]

Phelps, E., 2006. *Emotion and Cognition: Insights from Studies of the Human Amygdala*. PGCNL Module II, Week 1 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249223/as/3 Emotion%20and%20Cognition%C2%A0Phelps.pdf [Accessed 15 January 2010]

Pillar — *Optimizing Performance.* Anon. 2010. PGCNL Module IV, Week 1 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3468479/as/Change%20Theory%20Chapter%20from%20CWBIM.pdf [Accessed 2 July 2010]

Radecki, D., 2009. Attention II. PGCNL Module I, Week 5 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1959638-module-1-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 30 October 2009]

Radecki, D., 2009. Attention. PGCNL Module I, Week 4 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1959638-module-1-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 23 October 2009]

Radecki, D., 2009. Cognitive Complexities. PGCNL Module I, Week 7 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1959638-module-1-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 13 November 2009]

Radecki, D., 2009. Conscious and Unconscious Thought. PGCNL Module I, Week 6 [online slide.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1959638-module-1-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 6 November 2009]

Radecki, D., 2009. *Emotional Theory*. PGCNL Module II, Week 4 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960757-module-2-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 5 February 2010]

Radecki, D., 2009. *Expectations*. PGCNL Module II, Week 7 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960757-module-2-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 26 February 2010]

Radecki, D., 2009. Insight. PGCNL Module I, Week 9 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1959638-module-1-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 27 November 2009]

Radecki, D., 2009. *Labeling*. PGCNL Module II, Week 5 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960757-module-2-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 12 February 2010]

Radecki, D., 2009. *Minimize Danger, Maximize Reward*. PGCNL Module II, Week 2 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960757-module-2-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 22 January 2009]

Radecki, D., 2009. *The Impact Of Over Arousal.*. PGCNL Module II, Week 3 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960757-module-2-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 29 January 2009]

Radecki, D., 2009. *The Limbic System*. PGCNL Module II, Week 1 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960757-module-2-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 15 January 2010]

Radecki, D., 2010. *Certainty and Autonomy*. PGCNL Module III, Week 5 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960758-module-3-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 7 May 2010]

Radecki, D., 2010. *Change Theory*. PGCNL Module IV, Week 2 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960759-module-4-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 9 July 2010]

Radecki, D., 2010. Mirror Neurons and Empathy. PGCNL Module III, Week 4 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960758-module-3-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 30 April 2010]

Radecki, D., 2010. *Status*. PGCNL Module III, Week 9 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960758-module-3-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 4 June 2010]

Rasch, B., et al. Aversive stimuli lead to differential amygdala activation and connectivity patterns depending on catechol-O-methyltransferase Val158Met genotype. Science Direct, NeuroImage 52 (2010) pp.1712–1719. PGCNL Module IV, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3515088/as/Rasch%202010%20-%20Aversive%20stimuli%20lead%20to%20differential.pdf [Accessed 24 July 2010]

Reynold, G., 2008. *PresentationZen: Simple Ideas On Presentation Design and Delivery.* Berkeley: New Riders, Div.of Pearson Education

Richards, J.M. and Gross, J.J., 2006. *Personality and emotional memory: How regulating emotion impairs memory for emotional events.* PGCNL Module II, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249346/as/3%20-%20Personality%20&%20Emotional%20Memory_Richards_Gross.pdf [Accessed 5 February 2010]

Rock, D. and Ringleb, A.H., 2009. *Defining NeuroLeadership as a field.* NeuroLeadership Journal, Issue Two. PGCNL Module III, Week 10 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3411843/as/DefiningNeuroLeadership%2 0as%20a%20field.pdf [Accessed 11 June 2010]

Rock, D. and Schwartz, J. M., 2006. *The neuroscience of leadership*. Strategy+Business, Issue 43. PGCNL Module IV, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3519532/as/The%20Neuroscience%20of %20Leadership Strategy+Business.pdf [Accessed 24 July 2010]

Rock, D. and Tang, Y., 2009. *Neuroscience of engagement*. NeuroLeadership Journal, Issue Two. PGCNL Module III, Week 10 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3411840/as/Neuroscience%20of%20Engagement.pdf [Accessed 11 June 2010]

Rock, D., 2006. Quiet Leadership. New York: HarperCollins

Rock, D., 2008. SCARF: a brain-based model for collaborating with and influencing others.

NeuroLeadership Journal, Edition One, pp.44-52. PGCNL Module III, Week 2 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3289426/as/1 SCARF NLIJournal08 DRock.pdf [Accessed 16 April 2010]

Rock, D., 2009. A Hunger for Certainty. PGCNL Module II, Week 2 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: http://www.psychologytoday.com/blog/your-brain-work/200910/hunger-certainty [Accessed 22 January 2010]

Rock, D., 2009. A sense of autonomy is a primary reward or threat for the brain. PGCNL Module II, Week 2 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: http://www.psychologytoday.com/blog/your-brain-work/200911/sense-autonomy-is-primary-reward-or-threat-the-brain [Accessed 22 January 2010]

Rock, D., 2009. Your Brain At Work: Strategies for overcoming distraction, regaining focus, and working smarter all day long. New York: HarperCollins Publishers

Rock, D., 2010. *The SCARF Model.* PGCNL Module III, Week 2 [online slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3309300/as/Week2_Slides_DavidRock.pdf [Accessed 16 April 2010]

Schuman, S., 2010. The IAF Handbook of Group Facilitation: Best Practices from the Leading Organization in Facilitation. San Francisco: Jossey & Bass

Seymour, B., Singer, T. and Dolan, R., 2007. *The neurobiology of punishment.* Nature Reviews Neuroscience, Volume 8, pp.300-311. PGCNL Module III, Week 7 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3383819/as/the%20neurobiology%20of%20punishment.pdf [Accessed 21 May 2010]

Siegel, D. 2010. *Mindfulness*. [video online] Available at: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5dFr0TgAlzY [Accessed 12 March 2010]

Siegel, D., 2008. *The Neurobiology of "We"*. Sounds True 7 CD Audio Learning Course. USA: Sounds True Music

Siegel, D.J., 2010. *Mindsight. The New Science Of Personal Transformation.* New York: Bantam Books in assoc. with Random House, Inc.

Singer, T., Seymour, B., O'Doherty, P.J., Stephan, K.E., Dolan, and R.J. & Frith, C.D., 2006. *Empathic neural responses are modulated by the perceived fairness of others.* Nature, Volume 439, pp. 466-469. PGCNL Module III, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3300071/as/2 EmpathicNeuralResponses Singer et%20al.pdf [Accessed 30 April 2010]

Skolnick Weisberg, D. et al. 2008. *The Seductive Allure of Neuroscience Explanations.* Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience March 2008, Vol. 20, No. 3, pp.470-477 [online] Available at MIT Press Journals:

http://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/abs/10.1162/jocn.2008.20040?prevSearch=allfield%253A% 2528The%2BSeductive%2BAllure%2Bof%2BNeuroscience%2BExplanations%2529&searchHistoryKey= [Accessed 14 January 2011]

Strachan, D., 2007. *Making Questions Work: A Guide To How And What To Ask For Facilitators, Consultants, Managers, Coaches And Educators.* San Francisco: Jossev-Bass

Stratton-Berkessel, R., 2010. *Introduction to Appreciative Inquiry*. PGCNL Module IV, Week 3 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3497911/as/Module%204%20Appreciative%20Inquiry%20Iuly%202010.pdf [Accessed 16 July 2010]

Tabibnia, G., 2010. *Fairness*. PGCNL Module III, Week 7 [online teleclass slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3382570/as/Module%203%20slides%20Fairness%20tabibnia.pdf [Accessed 21 May 2010]

Tabibnia, G., & Lieberman M. D., 2007. Fairness and Cooperation Are Rewarding: Evidence from Social Cognitive Neuroscience. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, Volume 1118, pp. 90-101. PGCNL Module III, Week 7 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3383804/as/Fairness%20and%20Cooperation.pdf [Accessed 21 May 2010]

Tabibnia, G., 2010. *Introduction To The Social Brain*. PGCNL Module III, Week 1 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

 $\frac{https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3655829/as/Module\%203\%20week\%201\%20Social\%20brain\%20Tabibnia.pdf}{Accessed 9 April 2010}$

Tambini, A., Ketz, N. and Davachi L., 2010. *Enhanced Brain Correlations during Rest Are Related to Memory for Recent Experiences*. Neuron p.65, pp.280–290, January 28, 2010. Elsevier Inc. PGCNL Module I, Week 2 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214589/as/2_Learning%20and%20Mem ory_LDavachi.pdf [Accessed 9 October 2009]

Tang, Y.-Y. et al. 2007. *Short-term meditation training improves attention and self-regulation*. PNAS, vol. 104, no. 43, pp.17152-17156. Available at: http://www.pnas.org/content/104/43/17152 [Accessed 17 January 2011]

Tang, YY. et al. 2007. *Short-Term Meditation Training Improves Attention and Self-Regulation.* PGCNL Module II, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249476/as/5%20-%20Short%20Term%20Meditation%20Training.pdf [Accessed 12 March 2010]

Ury, W., 1993. Getting Past No: Negotiating In Difficult Situations. New York: Bantam Books

Washington University School of Medicine, 1997. *Medial Temporal Lobe (The Limbic System)*. (Neuroscience Tutorial) [online] Available at: http://thalamus.wustl.edu/course/limbic.html [Accessed 15 January 2010]

Wilson, T. and Gilbert, D.T., 2005. Affective Forecasting. PGCNL Module I, Week 7 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3286453/as/4 Affective%20Forecasting DGilbert.pdf [Accessed 13 November 2009]

Winslade, J. and Monk, G. D., 2008. Practicing Narrative Mediation: Loosening the Grip of Conflict. San Francisco: John Wiley & Sons

Zink, C., Tong, Y., Chen, Q., Bassett, D., Stein, J., & Meyer-Lindenberg, A., 2008. *Know Your Place: Neural Processing of Social Hierarchy in Humans*. Neuron, Volume 58, pp. 273-283. PGCNL Module III, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3418888/as/Neural%20Processing.pdf [Accessed 4 June 2010]

¹ APPENDIX A: Emotions such as anxiety, sadness and fear are *away* responses. As we experience emotions, the limbic system automatically becomes aroused. The hippocampus is part of the network and links new experiences to memories of whether something is a danger or a reward. It doesn't just remember facts but also feelings about facts. The amygdala is the brain's thermometer for feelings and becomes aroused in proportion to the strength of an emotional response. This information is particularly interesting for the mediation process as it can even create false confidence. For example, increased adrenaline when experiencing fear might make parties feel focused and more confident in their decisions, when their ability to make the best decisions has actually been reduced. (Rock, 2008)

When the limbic system is overly aroused, reduced resources are available for prefrontal cortex functions. These functions involve memorizing, understanding, recalling and inhibiting thoughts, stopping oneself to think something. It is the executive control centre of the brain and the seat of the working memory, an energy draining, small part of the brain which holds on to information short-term. To assist the prefrontal cortex in preserving energy, we use 'low resource' tools, for example motor and routine tasks are handled by the basal ganglia. When not enough resources are available for conscious processing in the prefrontal cortex, three problems arise: 1) the brain becomes more automatic, drawing on deeply embedded functions (habitual behavior), or recent events temporarily stored in working memory; 2) the loss of executive control to help keep unwanted thoughts away; 3) the limbic system looks out for even more danger when aroused by threats, starting a downward spiral, also known as amygdala hijack.

An aroused limbic system can also make links where there may not be any, for example 'accidental connections', misinterpreting incoming data. Accidental connections also happen when we are anxious due to limited information processing called attentional blink. This is a time gap of over half a second for most people required between identifying different stimuli. If we hear a few words and then our attention goes to an internal voice, as arousal tends to do, we might not have time to hear the next few words said to us.

ii APPENDIX B: Crawford correctly identifies weaknesses in interpreting fMRI results. He makes the point that "[a] brain scan, known as fMRI (functional magnetic resonance imaging), is a research method the validity of which depends on a premise. That premise is that mental processes can be analyzed into separate and distinct components or modules, and further that these modules are realized in localized brain regions. Brain scans do, in fact, reveal well-defined areas that "light up" in response to various cognitive tasks. In the case of functional (as opposed to structural) neuroimaging, what we see when we look at a brain scan is the result of the baseline measurement being subtracted from the on-task measurement. The reasoning is

that whatever shows up in the subtraction represents the metabolic activity associated solely with the cognitive task in question. However, this method eliminates the fact that the entire brain is active in both conditions and the presentation of differential brain scans subtracts out all the distributed functions. At its most basic interpretation this subtractive method provides neuroscientists with a working hypothesis that allows mental processes to be analyzed into separate modules in localized brain regions." (Crawford, 2008)

iii APPENDIX C: A paper published in the Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience. The authors of "The Seductive Allure of Neuroscience Explanations," a team of Yale scholars, offered their subjects various explanations for certain psychological phenomena that are familiar to everyday experience. Some of these explanations were contrived to be pointedly bad explanations. Their subjects consisted of three groups: neuroscientists, neuroscience students, and lay adults. The study found that all three groups did well at identifying the bad explanations as bad, except when those explanations were preceded with the words, "Brain scans indicate". Then the students and lay adults tended to accept the bad explanation (Skolnick Weisberg, et al., 2008). A complementary set of experiments by David P. McCabe and Alan D. Castel in the journal Cognition found that "readers infer more scientific value for articles including brain images than those that do not, regardless of whether the article included reasoning errors or not." (cited in Crawford, 2008) These findings suggest that we are culturally predisposed to surrender our own judgment in the face of brain scans.