Emotion Regulation in High Conflict Mediation

Written by: Erika Deines, BA C.Med. RFM PGCNL April 2016

If it was not clear to me as conflict management practitioner before, the post-graduate NeuroLeadership (PGCNL) program confirmed the need for a careful reexamination of many of the basic operating premises underlying the most prevalent models of conflict management and mediation practice and teaching. For example, what became evident is that the notion of a third party acting as a neutral, objective and dispassionate problem solver is especially suspect. The conflict management field, not unlike other professions, is predicated on the rationalist presumption that people, if given the opportunity, will be reasonable and cooperative because it is in their self-interest and makes good business sense. The reality does not fit that design quite so neatly; despite a presence of 25 years or more, the demand for interest-based mediation services remains underwhelming. That might support the emerging view that people are not nearly as rational as we might like to believe.

Unresolved feelings and threats linger as emotional events from the past impact rational thinking in the present. Emotional experience is connected to a large network, the limbic system (LS). Parts of the LS are the amygdala, hippocampus, cingulate gyrus, orbital frontal cortex and insula. The LS tracks our emotional relationship to thoughts, objects, people and events. It determines how we feel about the world moment-to-moment. Moment-to-moment decisions are more than rational processes – subtle choices based on value judgments. It drives our behavior, quite unconsciously. This is evidenced in my account of a mediation between an elderly couple and an oil giant where I was the mediator. I have altered the subject matter and any identifiable criteria, including names, to maintain the confidentiality of mediation and those involved.

Ken and Julie did what most couples would do when they get close to retirement age. They started to think about slowing down and enjoying life. Their search for the ideal retirement property culminated in a seven-acre parcel where they built their retirement home. They were forecasting their future happiness by engaging their emotions of today away from a hectic daily routine, towards peaceful enjoyment of nature, wildlife, birds, tranquility. They considered what was important to them and focused on all the positive things they could attain by settling on the piece of 'paradise' they carved out for themselves. In the distance they saw an abandoned oil tank and were told not to worry - that oil in the area was equivalent to tar and extraction would never be economically viable.

Twenty years later Ken and Julie are compelled to write a letter to the regulator of the oil and gas industry, voicing their strong objection against the 30+ oil wells and future heavy oil extraction that is surrounding their little piece of 'heaven on earth'. Living has become a nightmare. Oil tankers on dusty gravel roads, intermittent odour of hydrocarbons, light pollution from well sites shining into bedroom windows, sudden bursts of hissing sounds from pressure release valves disturbing a good night's sleep, and no communication or consultation. The company is satisfied to be compliant with industry regulations and has obtained written approval to harvest minerals from the landowner of the quarter section adjoining Ken and Julie's acreage.

Ken and Julie are emotionally drained; they are stressed beyond their threshold of tolerance, as they enter the mediation room. This belief in the unfairness of their situation results in nervous system activity and in neuromodulators (i.e., catecholamine) getting ready for fight or flight reflexes. In the brain, the amygdala gets turned on to express emotions and form associations between stimuli and emotion. The amygdala activates proportionately in relation to the strength of an emotional response. This happens at an unconscious level of processing. At the same time prefrontal cortex (PFC) activity virtually shuts down, including the working memory that guides behaviour and inhibits inappropriate response or distraction, allowing posterior and subcortical structure to control Ken and Julie's behaviour.

Catecholamines exert opposing actions on the amygdala (LS) and PFC. During stress, the amygdala induces increased catecholamine release in the PFC which results in cognitive dysfunction.

Neural circuitry of emotion and cognition interact from early perception to decision making and reasoning. The brain may function to first decide the danger of stimuli and then determine the context or detail. This is a biologic survival mechanism, which is governed by memories of a stimuli. Julie's memories of stimuli are passionately captured in her objection letter which she asked to read out loud (to help her overcome presentation anxiety). "More than fifty years ago at a facility devoted to pathology I was exposed to the facts that people who work in oilfields have appreciably more cancer than those who do not and that breathing the hydrocarbon fumes of petroleum is conducive to lung cancer and other respiratory problems. As time went by it was indicated that hydrocarbon fumes caused brain damage and more recently in an academic setting it was demonstrated that hydrocarbon fumes interfered with neurotransmitters and destroyed neural tissue. The oil industry will deny this until the end of time so I will waste no more effort on this subject!"

What are Ken and Julie's emotions? First and foremost they are feeling powerless. They are now second-guessing whether they are 'equipped' to handle the situation they selected: a face-to-face meeting with those that – in their perception - have power *over* them. The motivation behind achieving their goal (to voice their concern in a face-to-face mediation) has changed as the proximity of the goal drew closer. Valuation of a future reward relies on Ken and Julie's ability to decide between immediate and future payouts. They now fear being evaluated by young oil executives as the 'grumpy old couple' and their nervousness shows through their body language: Ken's arms crossed over his chest and Julie's reluctance to make eye contact and feeling too hot in the room. The fear circuitry resides in the amygdala. A sudden fear or anger response is called "amygdala hijack". The competitive relationship of the PFC and amygdala when it comes to activation in the face of an emotionally "negative" stimulus shuts down PFC activity that would otherwise inhibit inappropriate response or distraction.

One of the young executives quickly picks up the phone to call the front desk for assistance with the temperature control panel.

Ken and Julie notice that the room resembles a boardroom – a large oval table with executive chairs, a whiteboard which can be connected to a computer, an LCD projector and a sideboard neatly arranged with juice, coffee and water jugs. Ken decides to break the ice as he modifies the situation by telling a 'boardroom' joke he had heard on the radio on his way to the meeting. He lightens the atmosphere as everyone joins in (polite) laughter.

Julie selectively focuses on the mediator (myself) and finds comfort in small talk about the weather and an interesting article in the local paper. She deploys her attention by distracting herself from the uncertainty surrounding her, the lack of relatedness with others in the room, not knowing her status in the eyes of her 'opponents' and the context of adversity.

The mediator opens the meeting thanking parties for agreeing to a face-to-face meeting and acknowledges the fear and anxiety that accompanies mediation meetings and particularly presenting concerns face-to-face. The mediator then offered that they consider themselves brave to be at the table and to embrace the opportunity for mutually satisfying solutions (as opposed to an imposed decision by way of Public Hearings). Enhancing positive expressions helps parties to modulate their emotional response from the initial negative frame of mind to the feeling of 'okayness'. Adding the statement that most parties in mediation have achieved results they never thought possible prior to mediation also sets the stage for the placebo effect, expectations that there will be reduced pain (stress) by attending mediation. The mental expectation for reduced pain often accounts for a disputant's change in (social) pain perception; it causes a person to repeatedly focus his/her attention on the experience of pain relief so that the brain's pain relief circuits are activated causing a decrease in the sensation of pain. The reduction of social pain through mediation can be likened to the placebo effect and is an example of the subjective experience of pain.

This kind of introduction by the mediator recognizes that emotions are interpretations and vary across individuals. The mediator guides parties to make emotional regulation come from within.

The importance of autonomy for Ken and Julie is reflected as Julie anxiously reads another paragraph from her letter, "We have no illusion that our objection will have even the most minimal effect on the oil activity in this area but this oil activity has devalued our property, has invaded our privacy, has destroyed the peaceful enjoyment of our land, has degraded the quality of our retirement, so therefore we object now and we will continue to object in the future."

Ken also sees his status as landowners threatened. His brain is masterful at putting patterns together into a cohesive whole to tell a good story. "Now, you may ask: If these whiners hate the energy industry so much, why don't they move? This would not be a minor move. There would be the financial and physical aspect of moving. I am close to eighty years old – not the time of my life that I feel up to an undertaking of this magnitude. My wife is disabled to a significant degree and a move such as this would be very difficult for her also. We would have to sell our present property which has been significantly devalued by the surrounding new and rapidly expanding oilfield."

Furthermore, Ken's need for certainty is expressed in his words to the company: "You have provided so much confusing information that I cannot now say where each well site will be nor can I say what the total number of these multiple wells will be. I do know, however, that my quiet rural retirement site will be in a concentrated industrial area with all of the attendant activity, noise, hydrocarbon effluvia, etc. And my property value has been and will be further decreased by the surrounding unpleasantness."

Ken and Julie's social hierarchy needs, such as certainty and autonomy, are strong mediators of their behavior. The default mode of the brain is to minimize danger and maximize reward. Under conditions of fear and stress, Ken and Julie's attention is pushed towards perceived threats in the environment in order to minimize danger. The impact of their 'over arousal' is the inability to attend to relevant stimuli as their energy is consumed by the threat stimuli. With Ken and Julie's level of anxiety there is less drive from the PFC to inhibit attention to threat stimuli. The limbic system pushes towards fixating attention on the threat.

Julie openly expresses her emotions to whoever wants to listen to her story. Her narrative is focused on her past experiences as a nurse handling accidents which had occurred on offshore oil rigs and left painful impressions about the dangers of blowouts and sour gas releases. As she draws from memories of her past she projects these circumstances to the wells located in proximity of her homestead and possible injuries and health risks for workers and residents.

Ken suppresses his emotions and avoids listening to Julie's stories. It is too tiring for him to imagine the details of Julie's forecasting of what will be in store for them. Suppressing emotions needs cognitive resources and has an impact on memory consolidation as it decreases activity in the amygdala and hence memory for details. Ken tries to suppress his beliefs in order to reach a logical conclusion.

Emotional reflection becomes an important element of emotional self-regulation. Emotional reflection 'labels' the emotion and thereby defuses its intensity. The amygdala decreases activity and makes room for cognitive activity to increase. The situation can then be modified by deploying attention that helps to modify and appraise the situation to modulate the response and produce a modified understanding of the situation. Consequently, reconsolidation of declarative memory will result in a more flexible, open participation in the mediation process by both Ken and Julie.

Conditioned fear memories, such as Julie's from her previous experience whereby she associates the smell of hydrocarbons with severe health concerns like various cancers and brain damage, are susceptible to change when they are recalled during a reconsolidation period, which has implications for self-regulating behavior. As a mediator, I acknowledge Julie's fear by reflecting on emotions - reflecting without intention, with internal focus, and by putting feelings into words. A state of fear or anxiety changes the way the brain perceives the world. The simple act of directing attention and awareness to emotional reflection decreases the impact in the amygdala and replaces the need for self-reflection (thinking about self).

Emotional regulation strategies are achieved by 'labeling'; putting feelings into words can alleviate negative emotional responses. A number of studies of affect labeling have demonstrated that linguistic processing of the emotional aspects of an emotional image produces less amygdala activity than perceptual processing of the emotional aspects of the same image (Hariri, Bookheimer, & Mazziotta, 2000; Lieberman, Hariri, Jarcho, Eisenberger, & Bookheimer, 2005). Additionally, these studies have demonstrated greater activity during linguistic processing than during nonlinguistic processing of emotion in the right ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (RVLPFC).

Ken and Julie's predicted experience of the world, namely their expectations, shape their perceptions and therefore how they interact with others. Areas of the cortex involved with prediction and error detection are integral to Ken and Julie's thought process. When errors are detected in the environment, intense bursts of neural firing occur. These are signals generated by the orbital frontal cortex. Error detection can push people to become emotional and impulsive and resort to their 'animal instincts'.

For Ken and Julie to change routine (habitual) behavior when the message in the brain that something is not right grabs attention and overpowers rational thought takes strong will to push past such mental activity. Brains are pattern-making organs with an innate desire to create novel connections.

When Ken and Julie solve problems themselves, the brain releases a rush of neurotransmitters (like adrenaline) as they create novel connections. As mediator, my task is not to judge or make decisions for parties in dispute; the task is to create an environment of trust where Ken and Julie can label their emotions, change perceptual positions (looking at it from the other person's point of view and taking a view as if they were the 'fly on the wall') and reappraise the situation.

Neurons communicate with each other through a type of electrochemical signaling. When close, focused attention is paid to a mental experience (a thought, an insight, a picture in the minds eye, or a fear) it stabilizes associated brain circuits. Over time, paying attention to a specific brain connection keeps circuitry open and dynamically alive, from just chemical links to stable, physical changes in brain structure. The brain is capable of significant internal change as response to environmental changes. The brain is also capable of changing as a function of where we put our attention. It reshapes the patterns of the brain. Such is the power of focus. Hence, people who practice a different specialty every day think differently (different sets of connections are activated) – i.e., professionals in finance, legal, engineering or human resources have physiological differences that prevent them from seeing the world the same way.

In the quest for certainty people create expectations, which may materialize in "what you put your attention on you will get more of". Expectations play a large role in perception. In Ken and Julie's case where they expect to increase their status and their autonomy by participating in face-to-face negotiations with the petroleum company, oil executives attending the meetings specifically to listen to and negotiate with them increase their sense of respect for Ken and Julie's concerns. Whether real or perceived, the environmental change from not having a say to having a face-to-face meeting with oil executives is confirmation to them that their status and autonomy are intact.

Ken and Julie are involved in one and the same dispute; however, they both have different mental maps. Julie sees company employees as 'rude' employees and in her mind, they don't give a moment's care about intruding in her lifestyle. Ken, however, sees company employees as busy, intelligent professionals; he hears valuable information about improved technology and considerations for processes to lessen impact his lifestyle.

A large-scale behavior change requires large scale change in mental maps. It requires that the experience allow people to provoke *themselves* to change attitudes or behaviors more quickly. As evidenced in the first mediation cited in this paper parties created mental space to move from strictly analyzing the situation to allowing remote associations of distantly

related concepts surface. Mediators wanting to change the way people think or behave should learn to recognize, encourage and deepen their disputing parties' insights. Julie, who sees oil workers as rude employees, won't change how she listens without a moment of insight in which her mental maps shift to seeing the workers perhaps as capable, specialized personnel.

Ken and Julie must own any change initiative for it to be successful. A moment of insight is a complex set of new connections being created. Connections have the potential to enhance mental resources and overcome the brain's resistance to change. The mediator knows what the brain wants and responds to narrowly focused negative emotions with:

- focusing people on solutions instead of problems
- letting them come to their own answers and
- keeping them focused on their insights

The way Ken and Julie pay attention during mediation in the very moment of challenge is the difference that can make the difference. When they listen, thinking of their response requires focus and attention, and energy in the PFC. Like Ken and Julie, we often process our thoughts right away and later our working memory allows us to hear another chunk of what was said (with delay and after we stop processing our thoughts). We can actually miss pieces of what has been said entirely as we are busy processing. This is called "attention blink".

The mediator's task is to give consideration to and then guide parties away from their past experiences and to focus on the here and now. From the present state Ken and Julie are experiencing they can correctly label their emotions and identify what impact this has on them 'now'. From there they are guided to distance themselves and look at the situation from an observer's perspective. This opens the scope of possibilities for reappraisal. Reappraisal is a cognitive-linguistic strategy that alters the trajectory of emotional responses by reformulating the meaning of a situation. Reappraisal can intervene relatively early in the emotion-generative process, recruiting executive cognitive control processes in medial, dorsolateral, and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (PFC) and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (ACC).

Reappraisal effectively down-regulates emotional experience and behavior and emotion related neural responses that together modulate ongoing emotion experience in emotion-appraisal brain systems, including the amygdala, ACC, ventromedial PFC, and insula. Over the long term, frequent use of reappraisal leads to enhanced control of emotion, interpersonal functioning, and psychological and physical well being.

From moment to moment, emotions influence attention, decision-making, memory, physiological responses, and social interactions. However, even as they shape a wide range of intrapersonal and interpersonal processes, emotions are themselves subject to modification: *mindfulness* begins by bringing awareness to current experience - observing and attending to the changing field of thoughts, feelings, and sensations from moment to moment - by regulating the focus of attention. This leads to a feeling of being very alert to what is occurring in the here-and-now.

Mindfulness approaches teach Ken and Julie to become more aware of thoughts and feelings and to relate to them in a wider decentered perspective as transient mental events rather than as reflections of the self or as necessarily accurate reflections on reality. Thus, when Ken and Julie recognize self-devaluative, hopeless thoughts simply as thoughts, they are better able to disengage from them since no action will be required (i.e., since the thoughts are not 'real, there is no goal to obtain and thus no need to ruminate to find a solution).

For Ken and Julie, rather than observing experience through the filter of their beliefs, assumptions, expectations, and desires, mindfulness involves a direct observation of the company's behavior as if for the first time, a quality that is often referred to as "beginner's mind".

An unexpected reward for Ken and Julie comes as the executives briefly retreat and return with an offer to remove all above surface tanks and to connect existing oil wells via a network of underground pipelines, eliminating tanker traffic, noise pollution and odor concerns, not to mention the positive effect on the esthetics of the countryside.

If mirror neurons are currently being investigated, this report should be considered a demonstration of the power of mirror neurons' influence on two oil executives who observe Ken and Julie's emotional pain and switch attention from threat ("we do business as we see fit") to reward ("return on investment equals peace of mind for all parties") to achieve a win-win outcome.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Amodio, D.M. and Lieberman, M.D., n.d. *Pictures in our heads: Contributions of fMRI to the study of prejudice and stereotyping*. To Appear in T. Nelson (ed.) Handbook of Prejudice, Stereotyping, and Discrimination; pp 21-29. Earlbaum Press. PGCNL Module III, Week 3 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3300070/as/2 Pictures%20in%20our%20 https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackpackit.com/assets/3300070/as/2 Pictures%20in%20our%20

Ancona, D. and Bresman, H., 2007. X-*Teams: How To Build Teams That Lead, Innovate and Succeed.* Boston: Harvard Business School Publishing

Arnsten, A., 2009. The Mental Sketchpad: Why Thinking Has Limits. PGCNL Module I, Week 3 [online slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3299727/as/2b The%20Mental%20Sketc hpad Slides.pdf [Accessed 16 October 2009]

Arnsten, A.F.T., 1998. *The Biology Of Being Frazzled*. PGCNL Module II, Week 3 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249339/as/4%20-%20The%20Biology%20of%20Being%20Frazzled_Arnsten.pdf [Accessed 29 January 2010]

Atkinson, M., 2008. Inner Dynamics of Coaching.

Atkinson, M., 2008. Step-by-Step Coaching.

Berkman, E., 2010. *Goal Pursuit and its neural underpinnings*. PGCNL Module IV, Week 4 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960759-module-4-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 24 July 2010]

Bishop, S.R. et al. 2004. *Mindfulness: A Proposed Operational Definition*. PGCNL Module II, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249453/as/4%20-%20Mindfullness%20a%20Proposed%20Operational%20Definition.pdf [Accessed 12 March 2010]

Brennan, A. and Arnsten, A., 2008. Neuronal Mechanisms Underlying Attention

Carter, E. J. & Pelphrey, K. A., 2008. *Friend or foe? Brain systems involved in the perception of dynamic signals of menacing and friendly social approaches*. Journal Social Neuroscience, Volume 3(2), pp. 151-163. PGCNL Module III, Week 6 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3390047/as/3 Friend or Foe Brain systems involved social approaches.pdf [Accessed 14 May 2010]

Chen, Z., Williams, K. D., Fitness, J., and Newton, N. C., 2008. *When Hurt Will Not Heal: Exploring the Capacity to Relive Social and Physical Pain.* Psychological Science, Volume 19(8), pp.789-795. PGCNL Module III, Week 2 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3302474/as/3 When%20hurt%20will%2 Onot%20heal Chen%20etal.pdf [Accessed 16 April 2010]

Coghill, R.C. 2010. Expectations vs. Experience: The Construction of the Individual Subjective Reality. PGCNL Module II, Week 7 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3526985/as/3b%20-%20Expectations%20vs%20Experience_RCoghill.pdf [Accessed 26 February 2010]

Cooley, J.W., 2005. *Creative Problem Solver's Handbook for Negotiators and Mediators*. Washington: American Bar Association

Covey, S.R., 1989. 2004. The Seven Habits Of Highly Effective People. [online] Available at: https://www.stephencovey.com/7habits/7habits-habit5.php [Accessed 18 January 2011]

Crawford, M.B., 2008. *The Limits of Neuro-Talk*. The New Atlantis, Number 19, Winter 2008, pp.65-78. [online] Available at The New Atlantis: http://www.thenewatlantis.com/publications/the-limits-of-neuro-talk [Accessed 22 December 2010]

Crockett, M. J., Clark, L., Tabibnia, G., Lieberman, M. D., & Robbins, T. W., 2008. *Serotonin modulates behavioural reactions to unfairness*. Science, Volume 320(5884), pp.173. PGCNL Module III, Week 7 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3383803/as/Serotonin%20Modulates%20 Behavioral.pdf [Accessed 21 May 2010]

Daft, R.L., 2010. The Executive and the Elephant: A Leader's Guide to Building Inner Excellence. San Francisco: John Wiley & Sons

Davachi, L. and Dobbins I.G., 2008. Declarative Memory. PGCNL Module I, Week 2 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214585/as/1 Declarative%20Memory L Davachi.pdf [Accessed 9 October 2009]

Davachi, L., 2009. Memory. PGCNL Module I, Week 2 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3289313/as/Week%202_Memory_LectureSlides_LDavachi.pdf [Accessed 9 October 2009]

Davachi, L., 2009. Neuroanatomy For Neuro Leadership. *PGCNL Module I, Week 1* [online slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3208668/as/1c Neuroscience%20101 Slides.pdf [Accessed 3 October 2009]

Decety, J.et al. 2004. *The neural bases of cooperation and competition: an fMRI investigation.*Neuroimage, Volume 23, pp. 744-51. PGCNL Module III, Week 7 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.hackpackit.com/assets/3383822/as/The%20neural%20hases%2

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3383822/as/The%20neural%20bases%20of%20cooperation%20and%20competition.pdf [Accessed 21 May 2010]

Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: The Influence of Arousal on Prefrontal Cortical Function. PGCNL Module I, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214598/as/2 InfluenceofArousal Brenna nArnsten.pdf [Accessed 23 October 2009]

Dixon, P., 2010. *Feedback – A developing model and theory.* PGCNL Module IV, Week 5 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37

Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960759-module-4-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 30 July 2010]

Doidge, N., 2007. The Brain That Changes Itself. New York: Penguin Books

Dworkin, S. I., Mirkis, S., & Smith, J. E., 1995. *Response-dependent versus response-independent presentation of cocaine: differences in the lethal effects of the drug*. Psychopharmacology, p.117, pp.262–266. PGCNL Module III, Week 5 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3300074/as/2 Responsedependent%20vs %20responseindependent Dworkin.pdf [Accessed 7 May 2010]

Eisenberger, N. I., Jarcho, J. J., Lieberman, M. D., & Naliboff, B. D., 2006. *An experimental study of shared sensitivity to physical pain and social rejection.* Pain, Volume 126, pg 132-138. PGCNL Module III, Week 6 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3300076/as/2 An%20experimental%20st udy%20of%20shared%20sensitivity Eisenberger%20etal.pdf [Accessed 14 May 2010]

Farb, N.A.S., Segal, Z.V., Mayberg, H., Bean, J., McKeon, D., Fatima, Z. and Anderson, A.K. 2007. Attending to the present: mindfulness meditation reveals distinct neural modes of self-reference. PGCNL Module II, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249450/as/3%20-%20Mindfulness%20Meditation%20Reveals.pdf [Accessed 12 March 2010]

Fazio, R.H., 2001. *On the automatic activation of associated evaluations: An overview, Cognition & Emotion.* PGCNL Module II, Week 3 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02699930125908 [Accessed 29 January 2010]

Ferguson, M. J., Bargh, J. A., 2004. *Liking Is for Doing: The Effects of Goal Pursuit on Automatic Evaluation.* Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, Volume 87(5), pp. 557–572. PGCNL Module IV, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3519530/as/Ferguson%20Bargh%20Liking%20Is%20for%20Doing%20-%20Goal%20Pursuit.pdf [Accessed 24 July 2010]

Fisher, R. Ury, W. and Patton, B., 1991. *Getting to Yes. Negotiating Agreement Without Giving In.* 2nd ed. London, UK: Penguin Books

Fitzgerald, M., 2006. *Corporate Circles: Transforming Conflict and Building Trusting Teams.* North Hollywood: Quinn Publishing

Folger, J. P. Baruch Bush, R. A. and Della Noce, D. J., 2010. *Transformative Mediation: A Sourcebook*. Institute for the Study of Conflict Transformation

Furlong, G.T., 2005. The Conflict Resolution Tool Box: Models & Maps for analyzing, diagnosing and resolving conflict. Mississauga: John Wiley & Sons Canada

Fuster, J.M., 2001. The Prefrontal Cortex – An Update: Time Is Of The Essence. PGCNL Module I, Week 3 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3212022/as/1 The%20Prefrontal%20Cort ex Fuster.pdf [Accessed 16 October 2009]

Goldberg, M., 1998. The Art of the Question: A Guide to Short-Term Question-Centered Therapy. USA and Canada: John Wiley & Sons

Goldin, P.R., McRae, K., Ramel, W. and Gross, J.J. 2008. *The Neural Bases of Emotion Regulation: Reappraisal and Suppression of Negative Emotion.* PGCNL Module II, Week 8 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3527109/as/1 Neural%20Basis%20of%20 Emotional%20Regulation Goldin.pdf [Accessed 5 March 2010]

González, V. and Mark, G., 2004. Constant, Constant, Multi-tasking Craziness: Managing Multiple Working Spheres. PGCNL Module I, Week 6 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214602/as/3 Multitasking%20Craziness Gonzalez.pdf [Accessed 6 November 2009]

Gordon E., 2008. *NeuroLeadership and Integrative Neuroscience: "it's about VALIDATION stupid!"* PGCNL Module II, Week 2 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249330/as/1_NeuroLeadership%20and%20Integrative%20Neuroscience_Gordon.pdf [Accessed 22 January 2010]

Hassed, C. 2008. *Mindfulness, wellbeing and performance*. PGCNL Module II, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249477/as/6%20-%20MindfulnessWellbeing%20&%20Performance_Hassed.pdf [Accessed 12 March 2010]

Hassed, C., 1999. *Know Thyself: The Stress Release Programme.* South Yarra, Australia: Michelle Anderson Publishing

Hassed, C., 1999. *Know Thyself: The Stress Release Programme.* South Yarra, Australia: Michelle Anderson Publishing

Hoffman, B., 1990. *Conflict Power Persuasion: Negotiating Effectively*. North York, Ontario: Captus Press Inc.

Hoi-Chung Leung et al. 2000. An Event-Related Functional MRI Study Of The Stroop Color Word Interference Task. PGCNL Module I, Week 7 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214608/as/3 An%20Event%20Related Leung.pdf [Accessed 13 November 2009]

Hsu, M., Bhatt, M., Adolphs, R., Tranel, D., & Camerer, C. F., 2005. *Neural Systems Responding to Degrees of Uncertainty in Human Decision-Making.* Science, Volume 310, pp.1681-1683. PGCNL Module III, Week 5 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3300073/as/1 Neural%20Systems%20Responding%20to%20Uncertainty Bhatt%20etal.pdf [Accessed 7 May 2010]

Iacoboni, M. and Dapretto, M., 2006. *The mirror neuron system and the consequences of its dysfunction.* Nature Reviews Neuroscience, Volume 7, pp. 924-951. PGCNL Module III, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3300072/as/1_MirrorneuronConsequencesofDysfunction_Jacoboni_Dapretto.pdf [Accessed 30 April 2010]

Iacoboni, M., 2008, 2009. Mirroring People: The Science Of Empathy And How We Connect With Others. New York: Farrar. Straus & Giroux

Iacoboni, M., 2008. *Mirroring People. The Science of Empathy And How We Connect With Others.* New York: Picador in assoc. with Farrar, Straus and Giroux

Izuma, K., Saito, D., Sadato, N., 2008. *Processing of Social and Monetary Rewards in the Human Striatum*. Neuron, Volume 58(2), pp.284-294. PGCNL Module III, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3418891/as/Processing%20of%20Social%20and%20Monetary%20Rewards.pdf [Accessed 4 June 2010]

Jones, T. S. Brinkert, R., 2008. *Conflict Coaching: Conflict Management Strategies And Skills For The Individual.* Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications

Jung-Beeman, M., 2009. Cognitive and neural mechanisms of insightful solutions. PGCNL Module I, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214644/as/2b Anatomy%20of%20an%20AHA Panel Slides.pdf [Accessed 27 November 2009]

Jung-Beeman, M., Collier, A. and Kounios, J., 2008. How insight happens: learning from the brain. PGCNL Module I, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214634/as/1 HowInsightHappens JungBeeman.pdf [Accessed 27 November 2009]

Kaner, S. Lind, L. Toldi, C. and Fisk, S., 2007. Facilitator's Guide to Participatory Decision-Making. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass

Koyama, T., McHaffie, J.G., Laurienti, P.J., Coghill, R.C. and Smith, E.E., 2005. *The Subjective Experience of Pain: Where Expectations Become Reality*. PGCNL Module II, Week 6 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3526940/as/1 The%20Subjective%20Experience%20of%20Pain.pdf [Accessed 26 February 2010]

Kraybill Conflict Style Inventory, n.d. *Style Matters*. [online] Available at: http://riverhouseepress.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=48&Itemid=81#validation [Accessed 17 January 2011]

Kraybill, R.S., 2001. Peace Skills: Manual for Community Mediators. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Inc.

Kraybill, R.S., Evans, A.F. and Evans, R.A., 2001 . *Peace Skills: Manual for Community Mediators.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Inc.

Lahninger, P., 1999. Leiten, praesentieren, moderieren: Arbeits- und Methodenbuch fuer Teamentwicklung und qualifizierte Aus- & Weiterbildung. Muenster 2. Aufl.: Oekotopia-Verlag

Langer, A.J., 1997. The Power of Mindful Learning. Cambridge: Perseus Books Group

Langer, E.J., 1989. Mindfulness. Cambridge, MA: Da Capo Press in assoc. with Perseus Books Group

Langer, E.J., 1989. Mindfulness. Cambridge: Perseus Books Group

Lebaron, M. and Pillay, V., 2006. *Conflict Across Cultures*. Boston, MA: Intercultural Press in assoc. with Nicholas Brealey Publishing, London, UK

Lehrer, J., 2010. How We Decide. New York: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Publishing

Lewicki, R. Saunders, D. and Barrt, B., 2009. Negotiation. 6th ed. Boston, MA: McGraw-Hill/Irwin

Lieberman M., & Eisenberg, N. 2008. *The pains and pleasures of social life.* NeuroLeadership Journal. Issue 1, pg 38-43. PGCNL Module III, Week 2[online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3289425/as/2 PainPleasureSocialLife Lieb erman Eisenberg.pdf [Accessed 16 April 2010]

Lieberman, M. D., 2007. *Social Cognitive Neuroscience: A Review of Core Processes*. Annual Review of Psychology, Volume58, pp.259-289. PGCNL Module III, Week 3 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3300069/as/1 A%20Review%20of%20Core%20Processes Lieberman.pdf [Accessed 23 April 2010]

Lieberman, M.D., 2010. *The Brain's Braking System, and how "using your words" taps into it.* PGCNL Module II, Week 5 [online slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249383/as/3b%20-%20BrainsBrakingSystem MLieberman Slides.pdf [Accessed 12 February 2010]

Lieberman, M.D., 2010. *The Pains and Pleasures of Social Living*. PGCNL Module III, Week 1 [online slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3289403/as/1b Get%200n%20With%200 thers MLieberman.pdf [Accessed 9 April 2010]

Lieberman, M.D., Eisenberger, N.I., Crockett, M.J., Tom, S.M., Pfeifer, J.H. and Way, B.M., 2007. *Putting Feelings Into Words. Affect Labeling Disrupts Amygdala Activity in Response to Affective Stimuli.* PGCNL Module II, Week 5 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3516175/as/1 Putting%20Feelings%20Int o%20Words Lieberman.pdf [Accessed 12 February 2010]

Lieberman, M.D., Gilbert, D.T., Gaunt, R. and Trope, Y. n.d. *Reflection and Reflexion: A Social Cognitive Neuroscience Approach to Attributional Inference.* PGCNL Module III, Week 1 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3289414/as/2_Reflection&Reflexion_MLie_bermanetal.pdf [Accessed 9 April 2010]

Low, A., Lang, P.J., Smith, J.C. and Bradley, M.M., 2008. *Both Predator and Prey Emotional Arousal in Threat and Reward*. PGCNL Module II, Week 3 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249336/as/2%20-%20Both%20Predator%20and%20Prey Low Lang Smith Bradley.pdf [Accessed 29 January 2010]

Masicampo, E. and Baumeister, R., 2008. *Toward a Physiology of Dual-Process Reasoning and Judgment*. PGCNL Module I, Week 7 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3286454/as/5 TowardaPhysiology Masica mpo.pdf [Accessed 13 November 2009]

McFarland, W., 2010. *The Neuroscience of Change.* PGCNL Module IV, Week 6 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960759-module-4-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 6 August 2010]

Mitchell, J. P., Macrae, C. N., & Banaji, M. R., 2006. *Dissociable Medial Prefrontal Contributions to Judgments of Similar and Dissimilar Others.* Neuron, Volume 50, pp. 655–663. PGCNL Module III, Week 6 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3300075/as/1 Dissociable%20Medial%20 Prefrontal%20Contributions Mitchell%20etal.pdf [Accessed 14 May 2010]

Mitchell, J., 2010. *Using self to understand others*. PGCNL Module III, Week 3 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960758-module-3-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 23 April 2010]

Mobbs, D., 2010. *Relatedness*. PGCNL Module III, Week 6 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960758-module-3-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 14 May 2010]

Mukamel, R., Arne D. Ekstrom, A.D., Kaplan, J., Iacoboni, M. and Fried, I., 2010. *Single-Neuron Responses in Humans during Execution and Observation of Actions*. PGCNL Module III, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3337766/as/Mirror%20Neurons%20Current%20Biology%202010-04-27.pdf [Accessed 30 April 2010]

NeuroLeadership Institute. 2009. Prefrontal Cortex. PGCNL Module I, Week 3 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1959638-module-1-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 16 October 2009]

NeuroLeadership Institute. 2009. The Central Nervous System. *PGCNL Module I, Week 1* [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1959638-module-1-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 3 October 2009]

Ochsner, K. 2008. Staying cool under pressure: insights from social cognitive neuroscience and their implications for self and society. PGCNL Module II, Week 8 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3527113/as/3 Staying%20Cool%20Under %20Pressure Oschsner.pdf [Accessed 5 March 2010]

Ochsner, K. 2010. It's the Thought that counts: The Cognitive Bases of Keeping Your Cool. PGCNL Module II, Week 8 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3560372/as/Stay%20Cool%20Under%20 Pressure KOchsner.pdf [Accessed 5 March 2010] Ochsner, K.N. and Gross, J.J., 2005. *The cognitive control of emotion*. PGCNL Module II, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249345/as/1%20-%20Ochsner%20the%20cognitive%20control%20of%20emotion_Ochsner.pdf [Accessed 5 February 2010]

Ochsner, K.N., 2010. *Mindfulness*. PGCNL Module II, Week 9 [online telecall slides.odp via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960757-module-2-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 12 March 2010]

Ochsner, K.N., 2010. *Mindfulness*. PGCNL Module II, Week 9 [online telecall audio.wav via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960757-module-2-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 12 March 2010]

Ochsner, K.N.et al. 2004. For better or for worse: neural systems supporting the cognitive down- and up-regulation of negative emotion. 2nd ed. PGCNL Module II, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249480/as/7%20-%20Neural%20Systems%20Supporting%20the%20Cognitive Ochsner.pdf [Accessed 12 March 2010]

Overview of Appreciative Inquiry and Positive, Strength-Based Approaches to Human and Organization Development. Anon. 2010. PGCNL Module IV, Week 3 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3460884/as/Appreciative%20Inquiry%20 Overview.pdf [Accessed 16 July 2010]

Page L. and Rock D., 2009. Coaching With The Brain In Mind. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley & Sons

Pashler,H., Johnston, J.C. and Ruthruff E., 2001. Attention and Performance. PGCNL Module I, Week 6 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214599/as/2 Attention&Performance Pashler.pdf [Accessed 6 November 2009]

Pentland, A., 2008. *Honest Signals: How They Shape Our World.* Cambridge: Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Pfaff, D., Ribeiro A., Matthews J. and Lee-Ming Kow, 2008. Concepts and Mechanisms of Generalized Central Nervous System Arousal. PGCNL Module I, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214596/as/1_Concepts&Mechanisms_Pfaf f.pdf [Accessed 23 October 2009]

Phelps, E., 2006. *Emotion and Cognition: Insights from Studies of the Human Amygdala*. PGCNL Module II, Week 1 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249223/as/3 Emotion%20and%20Cognition%C2%A0Phelps.pdf [Accessed 15 January 2010]

Pillar — *Optimizing Performance.* Anon. 2010. PGCNL Module IV, Week 1 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3468479/as/Change%20Theory%20Chapter%20from%20CWBIM.pdf [Accessed 2 July 2010]

Radecki, D., 2009. Attention II. PGCNL Module I, Week 5 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1959638-module-1-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 30 October 2009]

Radecki, D., 2009. Attention. PGCNL Module I, Week 4 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1959638-module-1-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 23 October 2009]

Radecki, D., 2009. Cognitive Complexities. PGCNL Module I, Week 7 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1959638-module-1-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 13 November 2009]

Radecki, D., 2009. Conscious and Unconscious Thought. PGCNL Module I, Week 6 [online slide.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1959638-module-1-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 6 November 2009]

Radecki, D., 2009. *Emotional Theory*. PGCNL Module II, Week 4 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960757-module-2-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 5 February 2010]

Radecki, D., 2009. *Expectations*. PGCNL Module II, Week 7 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960757-module-2-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 26 February 2010]

Radecki, D., 2009. Insight. PGCNL Module I, Week 9 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1959638-module-1-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 27 November 2009]

Radecki, D., 2009. *Labeling*. PGCNL Module II, Week 5 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960757-module-2-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 12 February 2010]

Radecki, D., 2009. *Minimize Danger, Maximize Reward*. PGCNL Module II, Week 2 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960757-module-2-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 22 January 2009]

Radecki, D., 2009. *The Impact Of Over Arousal.*. PGCNL Module II, Week 3 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960757-module-2-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 29 January 2009]

Radecki, D., 2009. *The Limbic System*. PGCNL Module II, Week 1 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960757-module-2-readings-resources-mar10-intake [Accessed 15 January 2010]

Radecki, D., 2010. *Certainty and Autonomy*. PGCNL Module III, Week 5 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960758-module-3-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 7 May 2010]

Radecki, D., 2010. *Change Theory*. PGCNL Module IV, Week 2 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960759-module-4-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 9 July 2010]

Radecki, D., 2010. Mirror Neurons and Empathy. PGCNL Module III, Week 4 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960758-module-3-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 30 April 2010]

Radecki, D., 2010. *Status*. PGCNL Module III, Week 9 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/pages/1960758-module-3-readings-resources-oct09-intake [Accessed 4 June 2010]

Rasch, B., et al. Aversive stimuli lead to differential amygdala activation and connectivity patterns depending on catechol-O-methyltransferase Val158Met genotype. Science Direct, NeuroImage 52 (2010) pp.1712–1719. PGCNL Module IV, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3515088/as/Rasch%202010%20-%20Aversive%20stimuli%20lead%20to%20differential.pdf [Accessed 24 July 2010]

Reynold, G., 2008. *PresentationZen: Simple Ideas On Presentation Design and Delivery.* Berkeley: New Riders, Div.of Pearson Education

Richards, J.M. and Gross, J.J., 2006. *Personality and emotional memory: How regulating emotion impairs memory for emotional events.* PGCNL Module II, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249346/as/3%20-%20Personality%20&%20Emotional%20Memory_Richards_Gross.pdf [Accessed 5 February 2010]

Rock, D. and Ringleb, A.H., 2009. *Defining NeuroLeadership as a field.* NeuroLeadership Journal, Issue Two. PGCNL Module III, Week 10 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3411843/as/DefiningNeuroLeadership%2 0as%20a%20field.pdf [Accessed 11 June 2010]

Rock, D. and Schwartz, J. M., 2006. *The neuroscience of leadership*. Strategy+Business, Issue 43. PGCNL Module IV, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3519532/as/The%20Neuroscience%20of %20Leadership Strategy+Business.pdf [Accessed 24 July 2010]

Rock, D. and Tang, Y., 2009. *Neuroscience of engagement*. NeuroLeadership Journal, Issue Two. PGCNL Module III, Week 10 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3411840/as/Neuroscience%20of%20Engagement.pdf [Accessed 11 June 2010]

Rock, D., 2006. Quiet Leadership. New York: HarperCollins

Rock, D., 2008. SCARF: a brain-based model for collaborating with and influencing others.

NeuroLeadership Journal, Edition One, pp.44-52. PGCNL Module III, Week 2 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3289426/as/1 SCARF NLIJournal08 DRock.pdf [Accessed 16 April 2010]

Rock, D., 2009. A Hunger for Certainty. PGCNL Module II, Week 2 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: http://www.psychologytoday.com/blog/your-brain-work/200910/hunger-certainty [Accessed 22 January 2010]

Rock, D., 2009. A sense of autonomy is a primary reward or threat for the brain. PGCNL Module II, Week 2 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: http://www.psychologytoday.com/blog/your-brain-work/200911/sense-autonomy-is-primary-reward-or-threat-the-brain [Accessed 22 January 2010]

Rock, D., 2009. Your Brain At Work: Strategies for overcoming distraction, regaining focus, and working smarter all day long. New York: HarperCollins Publishers

Rock, D., 2010. *The SCARF Model.* PGCNL Module III, Week 2 [online slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3309300/as/Week2_Slides_DavidRock.pdf [Accessed 16 April 2010]

Schuman, S., 2010. The IAF Handbook of Group Facilitation: Best Practices from the Leading Organization in Facilitation. San Francisco: Jossey & Bass

Seymour, B., Singer, T. and Dolan, R., 2007. *The neurobiology of punishment.* Nature Reviews Neuroscience, Volume 8, pp.300-311. PGCNL Module III, Week 7 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3383819/as/the%20neurobiology%20of%20punishment.pdf [Accessed 21 May 2010]

Siegel, D. 2010. *Mindfulness*. [video online] Available at: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5dFr0TgAlzY [Accessed 12 March 2010]

Siegel, D., 2008. *The Neurobiology of "We"*. Sounds True 7 CD Audio Learning Course. USA: Sounds True Music

Siegel, D.J., 2010. *Mindsight. The New Science Of Personal Transformation.* New York: Bantam Books in assoc. with Random House, Inc.

Singer, T., Seymour, B., O'Doherty, P.J., Stephan, K.E., Dolan, and R.J. & Frith, C.D., 2006. *Empathic neural responses are modulated by the perceived fairness of others.* Nature, Volume 439, pp. 466-469. PGCNL Module III, Week 4 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3300071/as/2 EmpathicNeuralResponses Singer et%20al.pdf [Accessed 30 April 2010]

Skolnick Weisberg, D. et al. 2008. *The Seductive Allure of Neuroscience Explanations.* Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience March 2008, Vol. 20, No. 3, pp.470-477 [online] Available at MIT Press Journals:

http://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/abs/10.1162/jocn.2008.20040?prevSearch=allfield%253A% 2528The%2BSeductive%2BAllure%2Bof%2BNeuroscience%2BExplanations%2529&searchHistoryKey= [Accessed 14 January 2011]

Strachan, D., 2007. *Making Questions Work: A Guide To How And What To Ask For Facilitators, Consultants, Managers, Coaches And Educators.* San Francisco: Jossev-Bass

Stratton-Berkessel, R., 2010. *Introduction to Appreciative Inquiry*. PGCNL Module IV, Week 3 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3497911/as/Module%204%20Appreciative%20Inquiry%20Iuly%202010.pdf [Accessed 16 July 2010]

Tabibnia, G., 2010. *Fairness*. PGCNL Module III, Week 7 [online teleclass slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3382570/as/Module%203%20slides%20Fairness%20tabibnia.pdf [Accessed 21 May 2010]

Tabibnia, G., & Lieberman M. D., 2007. Fairness and Cooperation Are Rewarding: Evidence from Social Cognitive Neuroscience. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, Volume 1118, pp. 90-101. PGCNL Module III, Week 7 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3383804/as/Fairness%20and%20Cooperation.pdf [Accessed 21 May 2010]

Tabibnia, G., 2010. *Introduction To The Social Brain*. PGCNL Module III, Week 1 [online telecall slides.pdf via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

 $\frac{https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3655829/as/Module\%203\%20week\%201\%20Social\%20brain\%20Tabibnia.pdf}{Accessed 9 April 2010}$

Tambini, A., Ketz, N. and Davachi L., 2010. *Enhanced Brain Correlations during Rest Are Related to Memory for Recent Experiences*. Neuron p.65, pp.280–290, January 28, 2010. Elsevier Inc. PGCNL Module I, Week 2 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3214589/as/2_Learning%20and%20Mem ory_LDavachi.pdf [Accessed 9 October 2009]

Tang, Y.-Y. et al. 2007. *Short-term meditation training improves attention and self-regulation.* PNAS, vol. 104, no. 43, pp.17152-17156. Available at: http://www.pnas.org/content/104/43/17152 [Accessed 17 January 2011]

Tang, YY. et al. 2007. *Short-Term Meditation Training Improves Attention and Self-Regulation.* PGCNL Module II, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3249476/as/5%20-%20Short%20Term%20Meditation%20Training.pdf [Accessed 12 March 2010]

Ury, W., 1993. Getting Past No: Negotiating In Difficult Situations. New York: Bantam Books

Washington University School of Medicine, 1997. *Medial Temporal Lobe (The Limbic System)*. (Neuroscience Tutorial) [online] Available at: http://thalamus.wustl.edu/course/limbic.html [Accessed 15 January 2010]

Wilson, T. and Gilbert, D.T., 2005. Affective Forecasting. PGCNL Module I, Week 7 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals: https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3286453/as/4 Affective%20Forecasting_DGilbert.pdf [Accessed 13 November 2009]

Winslade, J. and Monk, G. D., 2008. Practicing Narrative Mediation: Loosening the Grip of Conflict. San Francisco: John Wiley & Sons

Zink, C., Tong, Y., Chen, Q., Bassett, D., Stein, J., & Meyer-Lindenberg, A., 2008. *Know Your Place: Neural Processing of Social Hierarchy in Humans*. Neuron, Volume 58, pp. 273-283. PGCNL Module III, Week 9 [online via internal VLE] Middlesex University and NeuroLeadership Institute. Available at 37 Signals:

https://neuroleadershipinstitute.backpackit.com/assets/3418888/as/Neural%20Processing.pdf [Accessed 4 June 2010]